1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 Bryant Flowers,

13 Plain

Plaintiff, No. Civ. S 02-2364 MCE PAN P

Findings and Recommendations

VS.

Richard Remmer, et al.,

Defendants.

15

14

16

17

Ι/

18

20

2122

22

2324

25

26

United States District Court
Eastern District of California

nor of al

-000-

April 1, 2005, an order served on plaintiff was returned by the postal service as undeliverable. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-182(f), which requires that a party appearing pro se inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, the court hereby recommends that this action be dismissed without prejudice. L.R. 83-183(b) (dismissal appropriate where pro se plaintiff fails to notify court of current address within 60 days of return of mail by U.S. Postal Service).

Case 2:02-cv-02364-MCE-PAN Document 39 Filed 07/13/05 Page 2 of 2

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), these findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case. Written objections may be filed within 20 days of service of these findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The district judge may accept, reject, or modify these findings and recommendations in whole or in part.

Dated: July 12, 2005.

/s/ Peter A. Nowinski PETER A. NOWINSKI Magistrate Judge